

and to refer, for example, judges with whom I disagree, I call them strict constructionists, but I do not demean them. And I do not come down here and refer to them because I have a different point of view. I am from Florida. The United States Supreme Court made a decision that I thoroughly disagree with. But at the very same time, I respected that decision and went about my business, because it is the Supreme Court. We have three branches of government, not one that can make all of the laws.

Mr. AKIN. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, I appreciate what the gentleman is saying, and I think that what the gentleman is saying gets to the heart of our disagreement on this point.

The gentleman said that the Supreme Court has made decisions that he strongly disagrees with, but he refused even to open his mouth hardly to refer to them other than in this context.

My sense is the three coequal branches of government means that we have a right to speak when we disagree and that we have even a responsibility to express that disagreement. And so our difference of opinion is that the gentleman really sees them as supreme, as the final decision on everything, and regardless of what they say, we have to suck it in and live with it. What I am saying is, that is alien to the thinking of our Founders. It is completely wrong.

Out of my State, I say to the gentleman, came the Dred Scott decision on slavery. I would not sit here and say, oh, I have to sit here and live with it. They are wrong, just as you and I can be wrong. We all make mistakes.

Mr. HASTINGS of Florida. Mr. Speaker, again reclaiming my time, the fact of the matter is that the Dred Scott decisions, *Plessy v. Ferguson*, a litany of decisions were changed over time.

One thing I would urge my colleague to really pay attention to, I will give him an illustration of two of this Nation's most prominent judges: One, Felix Frankfurter; and the other, Hugo Black. Hugo Black was a former member of the Ku Klux Klan, and Felix Frankfurter was an activist American civil libertarian. And when they went on the United States Supreme Court, they were ideological opposites. Over the course of time and events, if the gentleman will read their decisions, they changed.

My fear, as I have said, is, one day, we are no longer going to be in Congress. One day, mark my words, a different party will be in the majority. One day, conditions in the United States will be different. One day, world affairs will dictate an altered world reality. I ask my colleagues to vote against the underlying bill because if the reaction to these different scenarios goes beyond the constitutional limit, we would have already created the precedent that Congress cannot be

checked and balanced by the judicial branch. That would be unfortunate.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

We had the opportunity to hear from the gentleman from Missouri to enunciate not only what was in his heart about this Pledge of Allegiance, and I believe he supported very strongly the belief of exactly why we are here today for the Flag Protection Act. I think that there are many people in the United States that simply do not like the Pledge of Allegiance and would wish and choose to change that.

We have heard the gentleman from Florida suggest that the world and this country will be much different in the future, and while I cannot argue with the gentleman that I think change is incumbent and will always happen, I think that there are some things that are worthy of keeping, that we should hold dear and important to this Nation. And one of them is the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag.

I think it is one of the reasons why, when new citizens come to this country and they become citizens, that tears stream down their eyes as they raise their hand, as a Federal judge or a Federal magistrate will administer their oath, and then they will say the Pledge of Allegiance. And people who are today fighting terrorism and represent our United States military, they stand up at attention before our flag. They understand that the United States of America is not perfect, and there may be changes in our future. But I believe that they also believe that one thing should not change, and that is the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America. Every day, when we open the United States Congress, we respectfully give our thanks not only to God, and certainly the words right over your head there, Mr. Speaker, "in God we trust" are stated from the podium up front, but also we say the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag.

This body has been used as an attempt to publicize and perhaps politicize the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America. I think that it is a right thing that we will stand up for the Flag Protection Act. I think it is the right thing to do, and I encourage all of my colleagues to not only stand up for this flag but for this wonderful legislation, for traditional American values and our Founding Fathers' intent.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

□ 1845

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. TERRY). Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered or on which the vote is objected to under clause 6 of rule XX.

RECORD votes on postponed questions will be taken tomorrow.

PINE SPRINGS LAND EXCHANGE ACT

Mr. NEUGEBAUER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4806) to provide for a land exchange involving Federal lands in the Lincoln National Forest in the State of New Mexico, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 4806

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Pine Springs Land Exchange Act".

SEC. 2. LAND EXCHANGE, LINCOLN NATIONAL FOREST, NEW MEXICO.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) FEDERAL LAND.—The term "Federal land" means the three parcels of land, and any improvements thereon, comprising approximately 80 acres in the Lincoln National Forest, New Mexico, as depicted on the map entitled "Pine Springs Land Exchange" and dated May 25, 2004, and more particularly described as S1/2SE1/4NW1/4, SW1/4SW1/4, W1/2E1/2NW1/4SW1/4, and E1/2W1/2NW1/4SW1/4 of section 32 of township 17 south, range 13 east, New Mexico Principal Meridian.

(2) NON-FEDERAL LAND.—The term "non-Federal land" means the parcel of land owned by Lubbock Christian University comprising approximately 80 acres, as depicted on the map referred to in paragraph (1) and more particularly described as N1/2NW1/4 of section 24 of township 17 south, range 12 east, New Mexico Principal Meridian.

(b) LAND EXCHANGE REQUIRED.—

(1) EXCHANGE.—In exchange for the conveyance of the non-Federal land by Lubbock Christian University, the Secretary of Agriculture shall convey to Lubbock Christian University, by quit-claim deed, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the Federal land. The conveyance of the Federal land shall be subject to valid existing rights and such additional terms and conditions as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States. To the extent practicable, and subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary shall complete the land exchange not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) ACCEPTABLE TITLE.—Title to the non-Federal land shall conform with the title approval standards of the Attorney General applicable to Federal land acquisitions and shall otherwise be acceptable to the Secretary.

(3) COSTS OF IMPLEMENTING THE EXCHANGE.—The costs of implementing the land exchange shall be shared equally by the Secretary and Lubbock Christian University.

(c) TREATMENT OF MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS.—The Secretary and Lubbock Christian University may correct any minor error in the map referred to in subsection (a)(1) or the legal descriptions of the Federal land and non-Federal land. In the event of a discrepancy between